arXiv:1401.1862v1 [math.GR] 8 Jan 2014

SPECTRAL RIGIDITY AND SUBGROUPS OF FREE GROUPS

BRIAN RAY

ABSTRACT. A subset $\Sigma \subset F_N$ of the free group of rank N is called *spectrally rigid* if whenever trees T, T' in Culler-Vogtmann Outer Space are such that $\|g\|_T = \|g\|_{T'}$ for every $g \in \Sigma$, it follows that $T = T'$. Results of Smillie, Vogtmann, Cohen, Lustig, and Steiner prove that (for $N \geq 2$) no finite subset of F_N is spectrally rigid in F_N. We prove that if $\{H_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is a finite collection of subgroups, each of infinite index, and $g_i \in F_N$, then $\cup_{i=1}^k g_i H_i$ is not spectrally rigid in F_N. Taking $H_i = 1$, we recover the results about finite sets. We also prove that any coset of a nontrivial normal subgroup $H \lhd F_N$ is spectrally rigid.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Culler-Vogtmann Outer Space (denoted cv_N) was introduced by Culler and Vogtmann [9] as a free group analog of Teichmuller Space. While the latter admits an action by the Teichmuller Modular Group, Outer Space admits an action by $\mathrm{Out}(F_N)$, the outer automorphism group of F_N. The space cv_N has proven to be a key tool in studying $\mathrm{Out}(F_N)$.

Formally, cv_N is the space of all free, minimal, discrete, isometric actions of F_N on $\mathbb{R}$-trees, T, considered up to F_N-equivariant isometry. One may think of a point in the space as a triple (Γ, τ, l) where Γ is a finite graph equipped with both a marking isomorphism, $\tau\colon F_N \to \pi_1(\Gamma)$, and a function $l\colon E(\Gamma) \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ which assigns a length to each edge. Given such a triple, one sets $T = \widetilde{\Gamma}$ so that T is equipped with a covering space action of $F_N \cong \pi_1(\Gamma)$ which is free, minimal, discrete, and isometric. One obtains (Γ, τ, l) from T by considering the quotient graph $\Gamma = F_N \backslash T$. To each T we associate a length function $\|\cdot\|_T\colon F_N \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ defined by $\|g\|_T = \inf_{x \in T}\{d(x, gx)\}$. Equivalently, $\|g\|_T$ is the length of the cyclically reduced loop (in Γ) which represents the conjugacy class of $\tau(g)$. The closure, $\overline{\mathrm{cv}}_N$, of cv_N is known to consist of the so called very small actions of F_N on $\mathbb{R}$-trees [1, 8]. The fact that $\|\cdot\|_T$ determines T [6] gives an injection $\overline{\ell}\colon \overline{\mathrm{cv}}_N \to (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^{F_N}$; we call $\overline{\ell}(T)$ the *marked length spectrum* of T. Let $\ell = \overline{\ell}|_{\mathrm{cv}_N}$ and note that ℓ is also injective. Morally speaking, a subset $\Sigma \subset F_N$ is *spectrally rigid* (resp. *strongly spectrally rigid*) if one can replace the target of ℓ (resp. $\overline{\ell}$) by $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^{\Sigma}$ and still retain injectivity. The term was recently coined (in the free group setting) by Kapovich [15], though similarly spirited work dates back to the early 1990's (see [7, 24]).

Definition 1.1 ((Strongly) Spectrally rigid)**.** We say $\Sigma \subseteq F_N$ is (*strongly*) *spectrally rigid* if whenever $T_1, T_2 \in \mathrm{cv}_N$ (resp. $\overline{\mathrm{cv}}_N$) are such that $\|g\|_{T_1} = \|g\|_{T_2}$ for every $g \in \Sigma$, then $T_1 = T_2$ in cv_N (resp $\overline{\mathrm{cv}}_N$).

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20F65.

The author acknowledges support from National Science Foundation grant DMS-0904200.

For $N \geq 3$ Smillie and Vogtmann [24] prove that no finite set is spectrally rigid in F_N. More specifically, they show that given a finite set, Σ, of conjugacy classes there exists a one parameter family of trees, $T_t \in \mathrm{cv}_N$, whose translation length functions all agree on Σ. For $N = 2$, Cohen, Lustig and Steiner [7] provide a similar argument as to why no finite set is spectrally rigid in F_N. We prove the following.

Theorem A. *Let $N \geq 2$. Let $\{H_i\}_{i=1}^k$ be a finite collection of finitely generated subgroups $H_i < F_N$. Let $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^k$ be a finite collection of elements $g_i \in F_N$. Let $\mathcal{H} = \cup_{i=1}^k g_i H_i$. Then the following are equivalent.*

1. *For every i, $[F_N \colon H_i] = \infty$.*
2. *$\mathcal{H} \subset F_N$ is not spectrally rigid in F_N.*

In the above theorem, we recover the results of Smillie, Vogtmann, Cohen, Lustig, and Steiner by setting $H_i = \{1\}$. The proof by Smillie and Vogtmann uses the fact that in a finite set of conjugacy classes, there is a universal bound on the exponent with which a given primitive element may occur. Their argument, however, works for *any* set Σ which satisfies the following property: there exists a triple $(\mathcal{A}, a, M)$ with $\mathcal{A}$ a free basis, $a \in \mathcal{A}$, and $M \geq 1$, so that for any $g \in \Sigma$, if a^k occurs as a subword of the cyclically reduced form (over $\mathcal{A}$) of g, then $|k| \leq M$ (see Definition 2.1 and Theorem 2.2). To prove Theorem A, we use *laminations associated to a fully irreducible automorphism*. Introduced by Bestvina, Feighn, and Handel in [2] these laminations are defined – for a given fully irreducible $\varphi \in \mathrm{Out}(F_N)$ – in terms of a train track representative $f \colon \Gamma \to \Gamma$. Leaves of the lamination are "generated" by edgepaths of the form $f^n(e)$ for any $e \in E(\Gamma)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (see [2, 12]). It is a theorem of Bestvina, Feighn, and Handel [2] that only finitely generated subgroups of finite index may "carry a leaf" of such a lamination. It follows that, for finitely generated infinite index subgroups, edgepaths of the form $f^n(e)$ (for suitably large n) can not be "read" in H. Thus if a primitive loop contains such an $f^n(e)$, then it cannot be a subword of any $h \in H$ (compare Definition 2.1).

Theorem A fails if we allow infinite unions. Indeed, consider $\mathcal{H} = \cup_{g \in F_N} g\{1\}$. Then $\mathcal{H} = F_N$ and so is spectrally rigid. Since translation length functions satisfy $\|g^n\| = n\|g\|$, any finite index subgroup is spectrally rigid. More generally, if $\pi \colon F_N \to G$ is a presentation homomorphism ($G \cong F_N / \ker \pi$) for a torsion group, G, then $\ker \pi$ is spectrally rigid. Note that this applies to (normal) subgroups of infinite index (in the case G is infinite), and these subgroups are necessarily infinitely generated. Thus the finite generation assumption in Theorem A is also essential.

Carette, Francaviglia, Kapovich, and Martino prove [10] that if $H < \mathrm{Aut}(F_N)$ is such that the image of H in $\mathrm{Out}(F_N)$ contains an infinite normal subgroup, then for any $g \in F_N$ (so long as $N \neq 2$ or g not conjugate to a power of $[a, b]$ in $F_2 = F(a, b)$) the orbit Hg is spectrally rigid. This shows, for example, that the commutator subgroup, $[F_N, F_N]$, of F_N is spectrally rigid, as it contains the orbit $\mathrm{Aut}(F_N)[g, h]$ for a suitable commutator $[g, h]$. More generally, any nontrivial verbal or marginal subgroup is spectrally rigid since such groups are known to be characteristic [23, §2.3].

Motivated by the above result regarding characteristic subgroups, Ilya Kapovich asked the following question: Is it true that for any nontrivial normal subgroup $H \lhd F_N$, H is a spectrally rigid set? We prove the following.

Theorem B. *Let $H \lhd F_N$ be a nontrivial normal subgroup. Then for any $g \in F_N$, the coset gH is strongly spectrally rigid.*

The proof uses *geodesic currents* on free groups (see [14, 17, 18, 20]). These are positive Radon measures on $\partial^2 F_N = \partial F_N \times \partial F_N \backslash \Delta$ (where Δ is the diagonal) which are invariant under the diagonal action of F_N and under the map which exchanges the coordinates. The space of all such currents is denoted $\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$. The spaces $\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$ and $\overline{\mathrm{cv}}_N$ are related by an *intersection form* [17], $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \overline{\mathrm{cv}}_N \times \mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. The main feature of the intersection form that we use is as follows: given a conjugacy class $g \in F_N$, there is a canonically associated current $\eta_g \in \mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$ such that for any $T \in \overline{\mathrm{cv}}_N$, we have $\langle T, \eta_g \rangle = \|g\|_T$. Now given any nontrivial $g, r \in F_N$, we show that the normal closure, $\mathrm{ncl}(r)$, of r contains a sequence of words w_n such that large powers of g exhaust w_n. Linearity of the intersection form then reveals that the associated counting currents converge to the counting current of g, and that if two trees T, T' agree on $\mathrm{ncl}(r)$ (and hence on the w_n), then they must agree on g. Since g is arbitrary, we conclude $T = T'$. Our result then follows from the fact that any nontrivial normal subgroup contains $\mathrm{ncl}(r)$ for some nontrivial r.

2. Preliminaries

The N-Rose, R_N, is the graph with one vertex and N topological edges. If p is an edgepath in a graph, G, we let $[p]$ denote the reduced edgepath homotopic (rel. endpoints) to p. If p is a closed path, we let $[[p]]$ denote the cyclically reduced edgepath freely homotopic to p. If $g \in F_N$ and $\mathcal{A}$ is a free basis, then $[g]_{\mathcal{A}}$ (resp $[[g]]_{\mathcal{A}}$) denotes the freely reduced (resp. cyclically reduced) form of g over the basis $\mathcal{A}$. If v, w are edgepaths in G, we write $v \Subset w$ to indicate that v is a subpath of w. If $g, h \in F_N$ and a free basis, say $\mathcal{A}$, is specified, then $g \Subset h$ means the edgepath g occurs as a subpath of the edgepath h in the graph $G = R_{\mathcal{A}}$, the rose with petals labelled by the elements of $\mathcal{A}$.

2.1. **Property $\mathcal{W}$.** As mentioned in the introduction, the proof by Smillie and Vogtmann [24] that no finite set is spectrally rigid in F_N (for $N \geq 3$) admits a generalization. Specifically, their argument proceeds verbatim so long as the subset $\Sigma \subset F_N$ satisfies the following property.

Definition 2.1 (Property $\mathcal{W}$)**.** Let $\Sigma \subseteq F_N$. We say Σ has *property $\mathcal{W}$* if there exist a free basis $\mathcal{A}$ of F_N, $a \in \mathcal{A}$, and $M \geq 1$ so that for any $\sigma \in \Sigma$, if $a^k \Subset [[\sigma]]_{\mathcal{A}}$ then $|k| \leq M$.

In [21] it is verified that the argument provided by Cohen, Lustig, and Steiner [7] (for $N = 2$) also works under the assumption of property $\mathcal{W}$.

Theorem 2.2 (CLS, R, SV[7, 21, 24])**.** *For $N \geq 2$, if a subset $\Sigma \subset F_N$ has Property $\mathcal{W}$, then Σ is not spectrally rigid.*

2.2. **Stallings Subgroup Graphs.** Given a point $T = (\Gamma, \tau, l) \in \mathrm{cv}_N$, and a finitely generated subgroup $H \leq F_N$, we consider the (possibly infinite) cover of Γ corresponding to the subgroup $\tau(H)$, denoted $(X_H^T)_r$. Its core, denoted X_H^T, is finite (since H is finitely generated) and represents the conjugacy class of $\tau(H)$ in $\pi_1(\Gamma)$. Note that $X_H^T = (X_H^T)_r$ (i.e. X_H^T is $E(\Gamma)$-regular) if and only if $[F_N : H] < \infty$ if and only if $X_H^T \to \Gamma$ is a covering. If H is of infinite index, then one obtains $(X_H^T)_r$ from

X_H^T by attaching "hanging trees" at vertices which are not $E(\Gamma)$-regular. Note that varying the basepoint in $(X_H^T)_r$ corresponds to conjugation of $\tau(H)$ by elements of $\pi_1(\Gamma)$. In the event the basepoint, b, in $(X_H^T)_r$ lies outside X_H^T, we let $(X_H^T)_b$ denote the graph $X_H^T \cup [X_H^T, b]$, where $[X_H^T, b]$ is the bridge between X_H^T and b in $(X_H^T)_r$. For more information see, e.g., [4, 19, 25].

2.3. **Train Track Maps.** By a *graph map*, we mean a function $f\colon V(\Gamma)\cup E(\Gamma) \to V(\Gamma')\cup P(\Gamma')$ sending vertices to vertices and edges to edgepaths and for which the notions of incidence and inverse are preserved. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a free basis of F_N and $\varphi \in \mathrm{Out}(F_N)$, then we can always think of φ as a graph map $R_N \to R_N$ by pairing $E(R_N)$ with $\mathcal{A}$. By a *marked graph* we mean a pair (Γ, τ), where Γ is a graph and $\tau\colon R_N \to \Gamma$ is a homotopy equivalence. In the event $\Gamma = R_N$, we write $R_N = R_{\mathcal{A}}$, with $\mathcal{A}$ the free basis determined by the pairing $E(R_N)$ with $\mathcal{A}$. Given $\varphi \in \mathrm{Out}(F_N)$, and a marked graph (Γ, τ) we say that a graph map $f\colon \Gamma \to \Gamma$ is a *topological representative* of φ with respect to τ if f is a homotopy equivalence and the outer automorphism determined by $\tau \circ f \circ \tau^{-1}\colon R_N \to R_N$ is equal to $\varphi\colon R_N \to R_N$.

Definition 2.3 (Train track map)**.** A graph map $f\colon \Gamma \to \Gamma$ is called a *train track map* if for every $e \in E(\Gamma)$, the edgepath $f^n(e)$ is not a vertex and is reduced.

We say that $\varphi \in \mathrm{Out}(F_N)$ is *reducible* if there is a free factorization $F_N = F^0 * \cdots * F^{l-1} * H$, with $l \geq 1$ and $1 \leq \mathrm{rk}(F^0) < N$, so that φ permutes the conjugacy classes of the F^i; we allow $H = 1$. Otherwise, we say φ is *irreducible*.

Theorem 2.4 (Bestvina and Handel [3, Theorem 1.7])**.** *Every irreducible automorphism is topologically represented by a train track map.*

If all positive powers of φ are irreducible, then we say that φ is *irreducible with irreducible powers* (iwip for short). Thus φ is fully irreducible if and only if for all $k \geq 1$, φ^k does not preserve the conjugacy class of a proper free factor. In what follows, we use train track maps $f\colon \Gamma \to \Gamma$ representing iwip automorphisms in $\mathrm{Out}(F_N)$. The existence of such automorphisms – for every rank $N \geq 2$ – is well known (see, for example, [11, 22])

2.4. **Bestvina-Feighn-Handel Laminations.** Fix a free basis $\mathcal{A}$ of F_N. Let ∂F_N be the *Gromov boundary* of the word hyperbolic group, F_N; that is, $\partial F_N := \{a_1 a_2 \cdots \mid a_i \in \mathcal{A}^{\pm}, a_i^{-1} \neq a_{i+1}\}$. The *double boundary* of F_N, denoted by $\partial^2 F_N$, is defined by $\partial^2 F_N := (\partial F_N \times \partial F_N)\backslash\Delta$, where $\Delta \subset \partial F_N \times \partial F_N$ consists of those pairs (ζ_1, ζ_2) for which $\zeta_1 = \zeta_2$. More generally, given a marked graph (Γ, τ), one defines $\partial^2\widetilde{\Gamma}$ by considering instead one sided infinite reduced edgepaths in Γ.

Definition 2.5 (Bestvina-Feighn-Handel lamination)**.** Let $\varphi \in \mathrm{Out}(F_N)$ be a fully irreducible automorphism equipped with a train track map $f\colon \Gamma \to \Gamma$. The *Bestvina-Feighn-Handel lamination* associated to $\varphi \in \mathrm{Out}(F_N)$, denoted by $\mathcal{L}_{BFH}(\varphi, f, \Gamma)$, is the set of pairs $(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in \partial^2\widetilde{\Gamma}$ which have the following property: for every finite subpath $[z_1, z_2] \Subset (\zeta_1, \zeta_2)$ there exists an $e \in E(\Gamma)$ and an $n \geq 1$ so that $f^n(e) \supset \pi([z_1, z_2])$. Here $\pi\colon \partial^2\widetilde{\Gamma} \to \Gamma$ is the "labelling" map which, on each coordinate, coincides with projection from the universal covering, $\pi\colon \widetilde{\Gamma} \to \Gamma$. Such a pair (ζ_1, ζ_2) is called a *leaf* of the lamination.

If $f\colon \Gamma \to \Gamma$ is a train track representing an iwip, then given any pair of edges $e, e' \in E(\Gamma)$, there exists an $n \geq 1$ so that either $f^n(e) \ni e'$ or $f^n(e^{-1}) \ni e'$. In what follows, we will always assume that we have passed to a power of φ so that this is indeed the case. Definition 2.5 can be formulated (equivalently) in terms of a fixed edge $e \in E(\Gamma)$. Furthermore, the leaves of the Bestvina-Feighn-Handel lamination satisfy a certain "recurrence" property, which we now formulate precisely.

Definition 2.6 (Quasiperiodicity)**.** A leaf (ζ_1, ζ_2) of $\mathcal{L}_{BFH}(\varphi, f, \Gamma)$ is said to be *quasiperiodic* if for every $L > 0$, there exists $L' > L$ so that the following holds: if $[z_1, z_2]$, and $[w_1, w_2]$ are subpaths of (ζ_1, ζ_2) for which $|[z_1, z_2]| > L'$ and $|[w_1, w_2]| < L$, then $\pi([w_1, w_2]) \Subset \pi([z_1, z_2])$ (here $\pi\colon \widetilde{\Gamma} \to \Gamma$ is the labeling map).

Proposition 2.7 (Bestvina, Feighn, and Handel [2, Proposition 1.8])**.** *Every leaf (ζ_1, ζ_2) of $\mathcal{L}_{BFH}(\varphi, f, \Gamma)$ is quasiperiodic.* □

Definition 2.8 (Carrying a leaf)**.** Let $H \leq F_N$ be a finitely generated subgroup. Let $\varphi \in \mathrm{Out}(F_N)$ be a fully irreducible automorphism equipped with a train track map $f\colon \Gamma \to \Gamma$ (the marking on Γ being $\tau\colon R_N \to \Gamma$). Let $T = (\Gamma, \tau, l)$. Let X_H^T be the Stallings subgroup graph corresponding to the (conjugacy class of the) subgroup $\tau(H) \leq \pi_1(\Gamma, \tau(*))$. We say that (the conjugacy class of) H *carries* the leaf (ζ_1, ζ_2) of $\mathcal{L}_{BFH}(\Phi, f, \Gamma)$ if for every finite subpath $[z_1, z_2]$ of (ζ_1, ζ_2), the map

$$\pi|_{[z_1, z_2]}\colon [z_1, z_2] \to \Gamma$$

factors through X_H^T as a map $[z_1, z_2] \to X_H^T \to \Gamma$.

Of particular interest to us is the following proposition.

Proposition 2.9 (Bestvina, Feighn, and Handel [2, Lemma 2.4])**.** *Let $\varphi \in \mathrm{Out}(F_N)$ be a fully irreducible automorphism equipped with a train track $f\colon \Gamma \to \Gamma$. If a finitely generated subgroup $H \leq F_N$ carries a leaf of $\mathcal{L}_{BFH}(\varphi, f, \Gamma)$, then $[F_N : H] < \infty$.* □

2.5. **Stability of Quasi-Geodesics.** We will also need some basic results about stability of quasi-geodesics.

Proposition 2.10 (Bridson and Haefliger [5, III.H Theorem 1.7])**.** *For all $\delta > 0, \lambda \geq 1, \epsilon \geq 0$ there exists a constant $R = R(\delta, \lambda, \epsilon)$ with the following property: If X is a δ-hyperbolic geodesic space, c is a (λ, ϵ)-quasi-geodesic in X and $[p, q]$ is a geodesic segment joining the endpoints of c, then the Hausdorff distance between $[p, q]$ and the image of c is less than R.*

Proposition 2.11. *Let X, Y be δ-hyperbolic geodesic metric spaces equipped with a (λ, ϵ)-quasi-isometry $f\colon X \to Y$. Suppose A, B are collections of geodesic paths in X with the following property, there exists a constant C such that for any $\alpha \in A$ and $\beta \in B$, $\mathrm{diam}_X(\alpha \cap \beta) < C$. Let $\alpha' = [f(\alpha)], \beta' = [f(\beta)]$ be geodesics in Y obtained by reducing the images $f(\alpha), f(\beta)$, respectively. The there exists a constant C' such that for all $\alpha \in A, \beta \in B$, we have $\mathrm{diam}_Y(\alpha' \cap \beta') < C'$.*

Proof. Let $R = R(0, \lambda, \epsilon)$ be the constant afforded by Proposition 2.10. Let $\{\alpha_i\}, \{\beta_i\} \subset X$ and and $\{\alpha_i'\}, \{\beta_i'\} \subset Y$ be arbitrary sequences of geodesics (with α_i', β_i' contained in the R-neighborhood of $f(\alpha_i), f(\beta_i)$, respectively). Suppose without loss that $l_X(\alpha_i), l_X(\beta_i) \to \infty$ (the proposition is obvious otherwise). Since R is finite and since f is a quasi-isometry, we must have that $l_X(\alpha_i), l_X(\beta_i) \to \infty$. Similarly, the distance (in Y) between $f(\alpha_i \cap \beta_i)$ and $\alpha_i' \cap \beta_i'$ is uniformly bounded

(independent of i). Suppose for contradiction that no such C' exists; that is, $\mathrm{diam}_Y(\alpha_i' \cap \beta_i') \to \infty$. Then (by passing to a subsequence and reindexing) we may find a sequence of points $a_i \in \alpha_i, b_i \in \beta_i$ with $d_X(a_i, \alpha_i \cap \beta_i), d_X(b_i, \alpha_i \cap \beta_i) \to \infty$ for which $d_Y(f(a_i), f(b_i))$ is uniformly bounded. This contradicts the fact that f is a quasi-isometry. □

Corollary 2.12. *Let (Γ, τ) be a marked graph. Suppose z is a loop in G which represents a primitive element of $\pi_1(\Gamma)$. Let A be an arbitrary collection of loops in G. Suppose there exists a number M such that for all $a \in A$ whenever $z^k \Subset a$ it follows that $|k| \leq M$. Then for any free basis $\mathcal{B}$ with $z \in \mathcal{B}$ and a number M' such that for all $a \in A$ whenever $z^k \Subset [a]_{\mathcal{B}}$ it follows that $|k| \leq M'$.*

Proof. Let $Z = \{z^k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Let $f\colon \widetilde{\Gamma} \to \mathrm{Cay}(F_N, \mathcal{B})$ be a quasi-isometry with $\mathcal{B}$ any free basis containing z. We may think of Z, A as defining a collection of geodesics in $\widetilde{\Gamma}$. The assumption on z affords a constant, C, such that for any $\zeta \in Z$ and any $\alpha \in A$, we have $\mathrm{diam}_{\widetilde{\Gamma}}(\zeta \cap \alpha) < C$. We now apply Proposition 2.11 and conclude that (in $\mathrm{Cay}(F_N, \mathcal{B})$) there is a bound, C' on $\mathrm{diam}_{\mathrm{Cay}(F_N,\mathcal{B})}(\zeta', \alpha')$. Thus there is a bound M' so that if $z^k \Subset [a]_{\mathcal{B}}$, then $|k| \leq M'$. □

2.6. **Geodesic Currents.** A *geodesic current* on F_N is a positive Radon measure (a Borel measure that is finite on compact sets) on $\partial^2 F_N$ which is invariant under the diagonal action of F_N and under the map which interchanges the coordinates of $\partial^2 F_N$. The space of all currents is denoted $\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$. If $\nu, \mu \in \mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$ are (nontrivial) currents such that $\nu = \lambda\mu$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$ then we write $[\nu] = [\mu]$, where $[\cdot]$ denotes the projective class of a given current. To each root free conjugacy class $g \in F_N$ we associate a *counting curent*, η_g as follows. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a free basis of F_N. Write g as a cyclically reduced word over $\mathcal{A}$. We can thus think of g as the label of a directed graph, Γ_g, which is topologically homeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^1$ and has $\|g\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ edges (and hence $\|g\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ vertices), each edge labelled by the appropriate $a_i \in \mathcal{A}$. Now let v be any freely reduced word. By the number of occurrences of v or v^{-1} in g, denoted $n_g(v^{\pm})$, we mean the number of vertices of Γ_g at which one may read the word v or v^{-1} along Γ_g (going 'with' the oriented edges) without leaving Γ_g. Note that $n_u(v^{\pm}) = n_{u^{-1}}(v^{\pm})$ by definition. Now let $\widetilde{v}$ be a lift of v to the Cayley tree of F_N with respect to $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\mathrm{Cyl}(v) \subset \partial^2 F_N$ be the set of all $(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \subset \partial^2(F_N)$ such that the bi-infinite geodesic representing (ζ_1, ζ_2) passes through $\widetilde{v}$. Then $\eta_g(Cyl(v)) = n_g(v^{\pm})$. If $g = h^k$ for h a root free conjugacy class, we define $n_g(v^{\pm}) = k n_h(v^{\pm})$. Currents of the form $\lambda\eta_g$ for $\lambda > 0$ and $g \in F_N$ form a dense subset of $\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$ [13, Corollary 3.5]. For more information, see [14, 17, 18, 20]. We will need the notion of an intersection form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\colon \overline{\mathrm{cv}}_N \times \mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \to \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 2.13 (Kapovich and Lustig [17, Theorem A])**.** *There exists a map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\colon \overline{\mathrm{cv}}_N \times \mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \to \mathbb{R}$ which is continuous, $\mathrm{Out}(F_N)$-invariant, and linear with respect to the second argument. Furthermore for every $g \in F_N$, and $T \in \overline{\mathrm{cv}}_N$ we have $\langle T, \eta_g \rangle = \|g\|_T$.*

3. Proof of Theorem A

In what follows, whenever we speak of an iwip $\varphi \in \mathrm{Out}(F_N)$ equipped with train track map $f\colon \Gamma \to \Gamma$ we assume that we have passed to a suitable power of φ so that for any topological edge e in Γ, $f(e)$ crosses each topological edge in Γ. We will, however, continue to write φ, f.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $H \leq F_N$ be a finitely generated subgroup of infinite index. Let $\varphi \in \mathrm{Out}(F_N)$ be fully irreducible with train track map $f\colon \Gamma \to \Gamma$ on the marked graph (Γ, τ). Let $T = (\Gamma, \tau, l)$ in cv_N. Let X_H^T be the Stallings subgroup graph corresponding to the conjugacy class of $\tau(H)$ in $\pi_1(\Gamma)$. Then there exists a power m, such that for all $n \geq m$, and for any $e \in E(\Gamma)$, the edgepath $f^n(e)$ does not factor through X_H^T. Furthermore, given a basepoint b in $(X_H^T)_r$, we may choose m so that for all $n \geq m$, $f^n(e)$ does not factor through $(X_H^T)_b$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.9, H can not carry a leaf of $\mathcal{L}_{BFH}(\varphi, f, \Gamma)$. Thus there is a finite subpath $[z_1, z_2]$ (of a leaf $(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \subset \partial^2\widetilde{\Gamma}$) which does not factor through X_H^T. Let $L = |[z_1, z_2]|$. Since leaves are quasiperiodic, there exists L' so that for any subpath $[w_1, w_2]$ of length at least L', we have $[w_1, w_2] \Supset [z_1, z_2]$. Choose a basepoint $v \in \Gamma$ and let b be the basepoint in $(X_H^T)_r$ so that the image of $\pi_1((X_H^T)_b)$ in $\pi_1(\Gamma, v)$ is equal to $\tau(H)$. Let l be the length of the bridge $[X_H^T, b]$. Set $L'' = L' + 2l + 2\,\mathrm{diam}(X_H^T) + 1$. Now let $m \geq 1$ be so that the length of $f^m(e)$ is at least L'' for any $e \in E(\Gamma)$. Then for any $n \geq m$, $f^n(e) \Supset [z_1, z_2]$ for any $e \in E(\Gamma)$. Thus for any $n \geq m$, and for any $e \in E(\Gamma)$, we have that $f^n(e)$ does not factor through X_H^T, nor can it factor through $(X_H^T)_b$ since at least $2l + 1$ edges in $f^n(e)$ must lie outside of X_H^T. □

Corollary 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{H} = \cup H_i$ be a finite union of subgroups $H_i < F_N$ of infinite index. Then there exists a power m such that for all $n \geq m$ and for any $e \in E(\Gamma)$ and for all i, the edgepath $f^n(e)$ does not factor through $(X_{H_i}^T)_b$. Furthermore, there exists a primitive element $z \in \pi_1(\Gamma)$ so that z contains $f^m(e)$ and hence z does not factor through $(X_{H_i}^T)_b$ for any i.*

Proof. Fix a fully irreducible $\varphi \in \mathrm{Out}(F_N)$ equipped with train track map $f\colon \Gamma \to \Gamma$. Fix an edge $e \in E(\Gamma)$. Now apply Proposition 3.1 to each H_i and obtain a collection $\{m_i\}_{i=1}^k$ of exponents for which the following holds for each i: for all $n \geq m_i$ the edgepath $f^n(e)$ does not factor through $(X_{H_i}^T)_b$. Set $m = \max_i\{m_i\}$. Then for all $n \geq m$ and for all i we have that $f^n(e)$ does not factor through $(X_{H_i}^T)_b$.

Now let a be any primitive loop in $\pi_1(\Gamma)$. Since φ is fully irreducible, z cannot be a periodic conjugacy class, and hence $l_\Gamma([[f^k(a)]]) \to \infty$. Thus we can find an edge e' in suitable iterate, $f^k(a)$, of a so that in all further iterates of a by f there is no cancellation in the images of e'. Thus for all $n \geq k + m + 1$, we have that $f^m(e)$ occurs in $f^n(a)$ and hence $f^n(a)$ cannot factor through $(X_{H_i}^T)_b$ for any i. Set $z = f^n(a)$ for some $n \geq k + m + 1$. □

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{H} = \cup H_i$ be a finite union of subgroups $H_i < F_N$ of infinite index. Then there exists a primitive element z and a free basis $\mathcal{B}$ with $z \in \mathcal{B}$ and a number M so that for all i and for all $h \in H_i$, if $z^k \Subset [h]_{\mathcal{B}}$, then $|k| \leq M$.*

Proof. Let z be the primitive element afforded by Corollary 3.2. Let $Z = \{z^k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Let $B = \{\tau(h) \mid h \in H\}$. The previous proposition gives the following: there exists a constant C so that for any $\zeta \in Z$ and for any $\beta \in B$ and for any choice of lifts $\widetilde{\zeta}, \widetilde{\beta}$ in $\widetilde{\Gamma}$, the intersection $\mathrm{diam}(\widetilde{\zeta} \cap \widetilde{\beta}) < C$. Let $f\colon \widetilde{\Gamma} \to \mathrm{Cay}(F_N, \mathcal{B})$ be a quasi-isometry to the Cayley graph of F_N with respect to a basis $\mathcal{B}$ with $z \in \mathcal{B}$. Now apply Proposition 2.10 using Z, B and f. We conclude there exists a bound M so that for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$, if $z^k \Subset [h]_{\mathcal{B}}$, then $|k| \leq M$. □

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{H} = \cup g_i H_i$ be a finite collection of cosets of finitely generated subgroups of infinite index, $H_i \leq F_N$. Then the set $\mathcal{H}$ has property $\mathcal{W}$.*

Proof. Let $z, \mathcal{B}$ be the primitive element and free basis afforded by Proposition 3.3. Thus there exists an M' such that for all i and all $h \in H_i$ if $z^k \Subset [h_i]_{\mathcal{B}}$, then $|k| \leq M'$. Since $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is finite, there is M_g so that for all i, if $z^k \Subset [g_i]_{\mathcal{B}}$, then $|k| \leq M_g$. Thus there is an M so that for any i and any $h_i \in H_i$, if $z^k \Subset [[g_i h_i]]_{\mathcal{B}}$, then $|k| \leq M$. Thus H has property $\mathcal{W}$ with respect to $(z, \mathcal{B}, M)$. □

Since $\|g^n\| = n\|g\|$ it is clear that any (coset of a) subgroup of finite index is spectrally rigid. We thus have the following.

Theorem A. *Let $N \geq 2$. Let $\{H_i\}_{i=1}^k$ be a finite collection of finitely generated subgroups $H_i < F_N$. Let $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^k$ be a finite collection of elements $g_i \in F_N$. Let $\mathcal{H} = \cup_{i=1}^k g_i H_i$. Then the following are equivalent.*

1. *For every i, $[F_N : H_i] = \infty$.*
2. *$\mathcal{H} \subset F_N$ satisfies property $\mathcal{W}$.*
3. *$\mathcal{H} \subset F_N$ is not spectrally rigid in F_N.*

Proof. Theorem 3.4 gives (1) implies (2). Theorem 2.2 gives (2) implies (3). Finally, if at least one subgroup is of finite index (i.e. not (1)), then $\mathcal{H}$ is spectrally rigid (i.e. not (3)). □

4. Proof of Theorem B

Recall that the space, $\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$, of geodesic currents consists of positive radon measures on $\partial^2 F_N$ which are F_N and flip invariant. Also recall that $\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$ and $\overline{\mathrm{cv}}_N$ are related by an intersection form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ for which $\langle \eta_g, T \rangle = \|g\|_T$ (see §2.6 and Proposition 2.13).

Proposition 4.1 (Kapovich and Lustig [16, Lemma 2.10])**.** *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a free basis of F_N. Then for any cyclically reduced words $w_n, w \in F_N$, we have*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} [\eta_{w_n}] = [\eta_w] \qquad \text{if and only if} \qquad \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\eta_{w_n}}{\|w_n\|_{\mathcal{A}}} = \frac{\eta_w}{\|w\|_{\mathcal{A}}}$$

Proposition 4.2. *For any nontrivial $r \in F_N$, the set $\mathrm{ncl}(r)$ is strongly spectrally rigid.*

Proof. Let $u \in F_N$ be an arbitrary cyclically reduced word. We will show that $\|u\|_T = \|u\|_{T'}$ from which it will follow that $T = T'$ (since $\|\cdot\|$ is constant on conjugacy classes). To that end, we first construct a sequence of cyclically reduced words $\{w_i\} \subset \mathrm{ncl}(r)$ with the property that

$$[\eta_{w_i}] \to [\eta_u]$$

To that end, let

$$w_i = \alpha u^i \beta r \beta^{-1} u^{-i} \alpha^{-1} \gamma u^i \delta r \delta^{-1} u^{-i} \gamma^{-1}$$

Evidently w_i is a product of conjugates of r, so is in $\mathrm{ncl}(r)$. It is clear that we may choose $\alpha, \beta, \delta, \gamma$ so that w_i is freely (and so cyclically, by inspection) reduced. Now let $v \in F_N$ be arbitrary. By Proposition 4.1 it is enough to show that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{n_{w_i}(v^{\pm})}{\|w_i\|_{\mathcal{A}}} = \frac{n_u(v^{\pm})}{\|u\|_{\mathcal{A}}}$$

Note that there is a uniform bound on the number of occurrences of v or v^{-1} in w_i which do not occur entirely within the u^i or u^{-i} subwords of w_i. Furthermore the difference between $\|w_i\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $4\|u^i\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ is uniformly bounded. We now have that

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{i\to\infty}\frac{n_{w_i}(v^{\pm})}{\|w_i\|_{\mathcal{A}}} &= \lim_{i\to\infty}\frac{2n_{u^i}(v^{\pm})+2n_{u^{-i}}(v^{\pm})+D_i}{4i\|u\|_{\mathcal{A}}+C_i}\\ &= \lim_{i\to\infty}\frac{2in_u(v^{\pm})+2in_{u^{-1}}(v^{\pm})+D_i}{4i\|u\|_{\mathcal{A}}+C_i}\\ &= \lim_{i\to\infty}\frac{2in_u(v^{\pm})+2in_u(v^{\pm})+D_i}{4i\|u\|_{\mathcal{A}}+C_i}\\ &= \lim_{i\to\infty}\frac{4in_u(v^{\pm})+D_i}{4i\|u\|_{\mathcal{A}}+C_i} = \frac{n_u(v^{\pm})}{\|u\|_{\mathcal{A}}}\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Now suppose that $T, T' \in \overline{\mathrm{cv}}_N$ agree on $\mathrm{ncl}(r)$. Since $[\eta_{w_i}] \to [\eta_u]$ there exists a sequence λ_i such that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\lambda_i\eta_{w_i} = \eta_u$$

Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\|_T = \langle T, \eta_u\rangle &= \langle T, \lim_{i\to\infty}\lambda_i\eta_{w_i}\rangle = \lim_{i\to\infty}\lambda_i\langle T, \eta_{w_i}\rangle\\ &= \lim_{i\to\infty}\lambda_i\|w_i\|_T = \lim_{i\to\infty}\lambda_i\|w_i\|_{T'} = \lim_{i\to\infty}\lambda_i\langle T', \eta_{w_i}\rangle\\ &= \langle T', \lim_{i\to\infty}\lambda_i\eta_{w_i}\rangle = \langle T', \eta_u\rangle = \|u\|_{T'}\end{aligned}$$

Recall that u was an arbitrary conjugacy class. Since length functions are class functions, we conclude that $\|\cdot\|_T = \|\cdot\|_{T'}$ and so $T = T'$ in $\overline{\mathrm{cv}}_N$. □

Corollary 4.3. *Let $r \in F_N$ be nontrivial and consider $g\,\mathrm{ncl}(r)$. Then $g\,\mathrm{ncl}(r)$ is strongly spectrally rigid.*

Proof. In the proof of the above proposition, we may choose $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ so that gw_i is cyclically reduced. Then – with perhaps different constants C_i, D_i – we obtain that $[\eta_{gw_i}] \to [\eta_u]$. We conclude as above that $g\,\mathrm{ncl}(r)$ is strongly spectrally rigid. □

Theorem B. *Let $H \lhd F_N$ be a nontrivial normal subgroup. Then for any $g \in F_N$, the coset gH is strongly spectrally rigid.*

Proof. Since H is nontrivial and normal, $H \supset \mathrm{ncl}(r)$ for some nontrivial r. By the above proposition, for any $g \in F_N$, $g\,\mathrm{ncl}(r)$ is strongly spectrally rigid. Thus so is gH. □

References

[1] M. Bestvina and M. Feighn, *Outer limits*, 1992, preprint.

[2] M. Bestvina, M. Feighn, and M. Handel, *Laminations, trees, and irreducible automorphisms of free groups*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **7** (1997), no. 2, 215–244.

[3] M. Bestvina and M. Handel, *Train tracks and automorphisms of free groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **135** (1992), no. 1, 1–51.

[4] O. Bogopolski, *Introduction to group theory*, EMS Textbooks in Mathematics, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2008, Translated, revised and expanded from the 2002 Russian original.

[5] M. R. Bridson and A. Haefliger, *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 319, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.

[6] I. Chiswell, *Introduction to Λ-trees*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2001.
[7] M. M. Cohen, M. Lustig, and M. Steiner, **R**-*tree actions are not determined by the translation lengths of finitely many elements*, Arboreal group theory (Berkeley, CA, 1988), Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 19, Springer, New York, 1991, pp. 183–187.
[8] M. M. Cohen and M. Lustig, *Very small group actions on* **R**-*trees and Dehn twist automorphisms*, Topology **34** (1995), no. 3, 575–617.
[9] M. Culler and K. Vogtmann, *Moduli of graphs and automorphisms of free groups*, Invent. Math. **84** (1986), no. 1, 91–119.
[10] M. Carette, S. Francaviglia, I. Kapovich, and A. Martino, *Spectral rigidity of automorphic orbits in free groups*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **12** (2012), no. 3, 1457–1486.
[11] S. M. Gersten and J. R. Stallings, *Irreducible outer automorphisms of a free group*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **111** (1991), no. 2, 309–314.
[12] I. Kapovich and M. Lustig, *Invariant laminations for irreducible automorphisms of free groups*, ArXiv e-prints (2011).
[13] I. Kapovich, *The frequency space of a free group*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **15** (2005), no. 5-6, 939–969.
[14] ———, *Currents on free groups*, Topological and asymptotic aspects of group theory, Contemp. Math., vol. 394, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006, pp. 149–176.
[15] ———, *Random length-spectrum rigidity for free groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **140** (2012), no. 5, 1549–1560.
[16] I. Kapovich and M. Lustig, *The actions of* $\mathrm{Out}(F_k)$ *on the boundary of outer space and on the space of currents: minimal sets and equivariant incompatibility*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **27** (2007), no. 3, 827–847.
[17] ———, *Geometric intersection number and analogues of the curve complex for free groups*, Geom. Topol. **13** (2009), no. 3, 1805–1833.
[18] ———, *Intersection form, laminations and currents on free groups*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **19** (2010), no. 5, 1426–1467.
[19] I. Kapovich and A. Myasnikov, *Stallings foldings and subgroups of free groups*, J. Algebra **248** (2002), no. 2, 608–668.
[20] R. Martin, *Non-uniquely ergodic foliations of thin type*, Ph.D. thesis, UCLA, 1995.
[21] B. Ray, *Non-rigidity of cyclic automorphic orbits in free groups*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **22** (2012), no. 3, 1250021, 21.
[22] I. Rivin, *Walks on groups, counting reducible matrices, polynomials, and surface and free group automorphisms*, Duke Math. J. **142** (2008), no. 2, 353–379.
[23] D. J. S. Robinson, *A course in the theory of groups*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 80, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
[24] J. Smillie and K. Vogtmann, *Length functions and outer space*, Michigan Math. J. **39** (1992), no. 3, 485–493.
[25] J. R. Stallings, *Topology of finite graphs*, Invent. Math. **71** (1983), no. 3, 551–565.

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 1409 West Green Street, Urbana, IL 61801, USA
E-mail address: ray8@illinois.edu